

タイトル	On Some Singular Integral Operators Which are One to One Mappings on the Weighted Lebesgue-Hilbert Spaces
著者	YAMAMOTO, Takanori
引用	北海学園大学学園論集(171): 11-24
発行日	2017-03-25

# On Some Singular Integral Operators Which are One to One Mappings on the Weighted Lebesgue-Hilbert Spaces

Takanori YAMAMOTO

Dedicated to Professor Takahiko Nakazi on the occasion of his 70th birthday

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\phi$  be a bounded measurable function on the unit circle. Then we shall give the form of a weight  $W$  for which the singular integral operator  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible in the weighted space  $L^2(W)$ .  $P_+$  is an analytic projection,  $P_-$  is a co-analytic projection. When  $W$  is an  $(A_2)$  weight,  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible (resp. invertible) in  $L^2(W)$  if and only if Toeplitz operator  $T_\phi$  is left invertible (resp. invertible) in  $H^2(W)$ .

**KEYWORDS:** Singular integral operator, Riesz projection, Hardy space

**MSC (2010):** Primary 46J15, 47B35.

## § 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let  $m$  denote the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle  $\mathbf{T} = \{\zeta; |\zeta|=1\}$  and let  $\chi$  denote the identity function on  $\mathbf{T}$ . For a function  $f$  in  $L^1(m)$ , its  $k$ -th Fourier coefficient  $\hat{f}(k)$  is defined by

$$\hat{f}(k) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} \chi^{-k} f \, dm$$

for all integers  $k$ . For a function  $f$  in  $L^1(m)$ , its harmonic conjugate function  $\tilde{f}$  is defined by the singular integral

$$\tilde{f}(\theta) = VP \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(\theta - t) \cot \frac{t}{2} dm(t).$$

Let  $C(\mathbf{T})$  be an algebra of all continuous functions  $f$  on  $\mathbf{T}$ , and let  $A$  be a disc algebra of all functions  $f$  in  $C(\mathbf{T})$  such that  $\hat{f}(k) = 0$  for all negative integers  $k$ . The Hardy spaces  $H^p$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$ , are defined as follows. For  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $H^p$  is the  $L^p(m)$ -closure of  $A$ , while  $H^\infty$  is defined to be the

weak-\* closure of  $A$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ . If an  $f$  in  $H^p$  has the form  $f = \exp(u + i\bar{u} + ic)$  a.e. for some function  $u$  in  $L^k(m)$  and some real constant  $c$ , then  $f$  is called an outer function. Let  $A_0$  be the subspace of all functions  $f$  in  $A$  which satisfy  $\hat{f}(0) = 0$ , and let  $\bar{A}_0$  be the subspace of all complex conjugate functions of functions in  $A_0$ . Since the intersection of  $H^1$  and  $\bar{H}_0^1$  is only the zero function, the analytic projection  $P_+$  is defined as

$$P_+(f_1 + f_2) = f_1, \text{ for all } f_1 \text{ in } H^1 \text{ and all } f_2 \text{ in } \bar{H}_0^1.$$

The co-analytic projection  $P_-$  is defined by  $P_- = I - P_+$  where  $I$  is an identity operator on  $H^1 + \bar{H}_0^1$ . Then

$$P_\pm f = \frac{1}{2}\{f \pm i\bar{f} \pm \hat{f}(0)\}, \text{ for all } f \text{ in } A + \bar{A}_0.$$

For a  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\phi$  is defined as a map from  $H^2$  to  $H^2$  by

$$T_\phi f = P_+(\phi f), \text{ for all } f \text{ in } H^2.$$

A non-negative integrable function  $W$  on  $\mathbf{T}$  is said to be a weight.  $P_+$  is bounded on  $L^p(W)$  if and only if  $W$  satisfies the  $A_p$ -condition (cf.[6], p.254).  $(A_p)$  denotes the set of all positive weights  $W$  satisfying the  $A_p$ -condition. In the case  $p=2$ , Helson-Szegő theorem gives the form of a weight  $W$  in  $(A_2)$  (cf.[6], p.147 and [7]). If  $W$  is in  $(A_2)$ , then  $T_\phi$  is bounded in  $H^2(W)$  and  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded in  $L^2(W)$ . A weight  $W$  does not necessarily belong to  $(A_2)$  when those operators are bounded. In this paper we shall give the form of a weight  $W$  such that  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded and left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ . It should be mentioned that  $W$  is in  $(A_2)$  if and only if there exist a function  $k$  in  $H^1$  and a constant  $\rho$ ,  $\rho < 1$  such that  $|W - k| \leq \rho W$  a.e.. If  $W$  is in  $(A_2)$ , then  $\log W$  is in  $\text{BMO} = L_R^\infty(m) + \tilde{L}_R^\infty(m)$ .

**Definition.** (1) For a function  $\lambda$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda) &= \{s \in \text{BMO} ; \lambda = |\lambda| \exp(is) \text{ a.e.}\}, \\ \Lambda &= \{\lambda \in L^\infty(m) ; |\lambda| \exp(\tilde{s}) \text{ is bounded for some } s \text{ in } A(\lambda)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) For a function  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ , we shall write

$$E(\phi) = \{\zeta \in \mathbf{T} ; \phi(\zeta) \neq 1\} \text{ and } m(E(\phi)) = \int_E dm = \int_E \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

$I(\phi, +)$ ,  $I(\phi, -)$  denote intervals such that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\phi, +) &= [\max\{1, \|\phi\|_\infty\}, \infty), \\ I(\phi, -) &= (0, \min\{1, \text{ess inf } |\phi|\}] \text{ and put} \end{aligned}$$

$$I(\phi) = I(\phi, +) \cup I(\phi, -),$$

$$J(\phi) = \{t \in I(\phi) ; t^2 - \phi \text{ belongs to } \Lambda\}.$$

(3) For a function  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty(m)$  and a constant  $t$  in  $I(\phi)$  satisfying  $m\{\phi = t^2\} = 0$ , put

$$r(t, \phi) = \left| \frac{(\phi - 1)t}{t^2 - \phi} \right|,$$

and for a function  $v$  satisfying  $|v| \leq \cos^{-1} r(t, \phi)$  a.e., put

$$U(t, \phi, v) = \cosh^{-1} \left( \frac{\cos v}{r(t, \phi)} \right).$$

In this paper we shall assume  $-\pi \leq \text{Arg } z < \pi$ . For any  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ ,  $0 \leq m(E(\phi)) \leq 1$ . If  $|\phi| = 1$  a.e., then  $I(\phi) = (0, \infty)$ . For any  $\lambda$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ ,  $\text{Arg } \lambda$  belongs to a set  $A(\lambda)$ .  $\Lambda \cdot \Lambda = \Lambda$  and  $\Lambda$  contains a set  $\exp H^\infty$ .  $\lambda$  belongs to  $\Lambda$  if and only if there exist two functions  $t, s$  in  $L^\infty(m)$  such that  $t + \bar{s}$  is bounded above and  $\lambda = \exp(t + is)$  a.e.. The following Lemma A is useful to study the boundedness and the left invertibility of  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  in  $L^2(W)$ .

**Lemma A.** *Suppose  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^\infty(m)$  such that  $m(E(\phi)) > 0$ . Suppose  $t$  is a constant in  $J(\phi)$  such that  $m\{\phi = t^2\} = 0$ . Then  $r(t, \phi) \leq 1$  a.e.. For a weight  $W$  such that  $\log W$  is integrable, the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists a function  $k$  in  $H^1$  such that*

$$|(t^2 - \phi)W - k| \leq \{1 - r(t, \phi)^2\}^{1/2} |t^2 - \phi| W \text{ a.e..}$$

(ii) *There exist three functions  $u, v, s$ , and a constant  $c$  such that*

$$|v| \leq \cos^{-1} r(t, \phi) \text{ a.e., and } m\{|v| = \pi/2\} = 0;$$

$$|u| \leq U(t, \phi, v) \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi), \text{ and } -\log(2 \cos v) \leq u \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi)^c;$$

$$s \text{ is in } A(t^2 - \phi), \text{ and } W = \left( \chi_{E(\phi)^c} \frac{t}{|t^2 - 1|} + \chi_{E(\phi)} \frac{1}{|\phi - 1|} \right) \exp(u - \bar{v} - \bar{s} - c) \text{ a.e..}$$

If  $m(E(\phi)^c) > 0$  then  $t \neq 1$ . If  $W$  satisfies one of these conditions, then  $W^{-1}$  is integrable.

For a given function  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ , the form of a weight  $W$  such that  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded in  $L^2(W)$  was given in our preceding paper [14]. The proof of Lemma A is similar to it. In § 2, we shall give the proof. It is known that  $T_\phi$  is left invertible (resp. invertible) in  $H^2$  if and only if  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible (resp. invertible) in  $L^2(m)$  (cf.[10, p.71 and [15, p.393]. Left invertibilities of singular integral operators  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  and Toeplitz operators  $T_\phi$  in weighted spaces were never been studied. In § 3, we shall give the form of a weight  $W$  such that  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  (resp.  $T_\phi$ ) is bounded

and left invertible in  $L^2(W)$  (resp.  $H^2(W)$ ). A central role is played by the Cotlar-Sadosky lifting theorem and Lemma A. The invertibility of  $T_\phi$  in weighted spaces was already studied by Rochberg [16]. In § 4, we shall consider the invertibility of  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  and  $T_\phi$  in weighted spaces. For a function  $f$  in  $L^2(W)$ , the  $L^2(W)$  norm of  $f$  is denoted by  $\|f\|_W = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f|^2 W dm \right\}^{1/2}$ .

## § 2. PROOF OF LEMMA A.

We shall show that (i) implies (ii). Suppose  $k=0$  in (i), then by the calculation we have  $\phi=1$  a.e. which contradicts to  $m(E(\phi))>0$ . Hence we have  $k \neq 0$ . Since  $t$  is in  $I(\phi)$ , we have

$$|t^2 - \phi|^2 - |\phi - 1|^2 t^2 = (t^2 - 1)(t^2 - |\phi|^2) \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

Hence  $r(t, \phi) \leq 1$  a.e. and  $|k| \leq 2W|t^2 - \phi|$  a.e.. Suppose  $m(E(\phi)^c) > 0$  and  $t=1$  in (i), then  $k=0$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$  and hence  $k=0$  a.e.. This contradiction implies that if  $m(E(\phi)^c) > 0$  then  $t \neq 1$ . Since  $t$  is in  $J(\phi)$ ,  $t^2 - \phi$  belongs to  $\Lambda$ . Hence there exists a function  $s$  in  $L^\infty_R(m)$  such that  $t^2 - \phi = |t^2 - \phi| \exp(is)$  a.e. and  $|t^2 - \phi| \exp(\bar{s})$  is bounded. Put  $g = k \exp(\bar{s} - is)$ , then  $|g| \leq 2W|t^2 - \phi| \exp(\bar{s})$  a.e.. Hence  $g$  is a non-zero function in  $H^1$ . Put  $v = \text{Arg } g$ , then  $|v| \leq \cos^{-1} r(t, \phi)$  a.e. since

$$v = \text{Arg} \left( \frac{k}{t^2 - \phi} \right) \text{ a.e., and } \left| W - \frac{k}{t^2 - \phi} \right| \leq \{1 - r(t, \phi)^2\}^{1/2} W \text{ a.e..}$$

Since  $g$  is an outer function such that  $\text{Re } g \geq 0$  a.e. and

$$\frac{\exp(iv - \bar{v})}{|\exp(iv - \bar{v})|} = \frac{g}{|g|} \text{ a.e.,}$$

there exists a positive constant  $\gamma$  such that  $\exp(iv - \bar{v}) = \gamma g$  a.e. (cf.[11], Proposition 5). Put

$$u = \bar{v} + \bar{s} + \log W + \log t + \log \gamma + \chi_{E(\phi)} \log |\phi - 1| + \chi_{E(\phi)^c} \log |t - t^{-1}|,$$

then

$$W = \left( \chi_{E(\phi)^c} \frac{t}{|t^2 - 1|} + \chi_{E(\phi)} \frac{1}{|\phi - 1|} \right) \exp(u - \bar{v} - \bar{s} - c) \text{ a.e..}$$

Since  $|1 - r(t, \phi) \exp(iv - u)|^2 \leq 1 - r(t, \phi)^2$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ , we have

$$e^{2u} - 2 \left( \frac{\cos v}{r(t, \phi)} \right) e^u + 1 \leq 0 \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi),$$

and hence  $|u| \leq U(t, \phi, v)$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ . Since

$$\left| \frac{k}{t^2 - \phi} \right|^2 \leq 2W \text{Re} \left( \frac{k}{t^2 - \phi} \right) \text{ a.e.,}$$

we have

$$\left| \frac{k}{t^2 - \phi} \right| \leq 2W \cos v \text{ a.e..}$$

Hence  $W^{-1} \leq 2\gamma |t^2 - \phi| \exp(\tilde{v} + \tilde{s}) \cos v$  a.e.. Since  $|v| \leq \pi/2$  a.e.,  $\exp(\tilde{v}) \cos v$  is integrable (cf.[6], p. 161). Since  $t$  is in  $J(\phi)$ ,  $W^{-1}$  is integrable. Since  $(\cos v)^{-p}$  is integrable for some  $p, p > 0$ , we have  $m\{|v| = \pi/2\} = 0$ . Since

$$2\gamma W |t^2 - 1| \exp(\tilde{v} + \tilde{s}) \cos v \geq 1 \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi)^c,$$

we have  $-\log(2 \cos v) \leq u$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ . We shall show that (ii) implies (i). Since  $|u| \leq U(t, \phi, v)$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ , we have

$$|1 - r(t, \phi) \exp(iv - u)|^2 - \{1 - r(t, \phi)^2\} = r(t, \phi)^2 \left\{ e^{-2u} - 2 \left( \frac{\cos v}{r(t, \phi)} \right) e^{-u} + 1 \right\} \leq 0 \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi).$$

Put  $k = t \exp\{i(v + s) - (v + s) - c\}$ , then

$$|(t^2 - \phi)W - k| = |1 - r(t, \phi) \exp(iv - u)| \cdot |t^2 - \phi|W \leq \{1 - r(t, \phi)^2\}^{1/2} |t^2 - \phi|W \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi).$$

Since  $-\log(2 \cos v) \leq u$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ , we have  $|1 - \exp(iv - u)| \leq 1$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ . Hence

$$|(t^2 - 1)W - k| = |t^2 - 1| \cdot |1 - \exp(iv - u)|W \leq |t^2 - 1|W \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi)^c.$$

Since  $|k| \leq 2|t^2 - \phi|W$  a.e.,  $k$  is in  $H^1$ . Hence (i) follows. This completes the proof.

If  $\chi_{E(\phi)} \log|\phi - 1|$  is integrable, then it is possible to take an integrable function  $u$  in condition (ii). If  $r(t, \phi)$  is bounded away from zero, then it is possible to take a bounded function  $u$  in (ii).

### § 3. LEFT INVERTIBILITY.

We shall give the form of a weight  $W$  such that  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded and left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ . If  $W$  is in  $(A_2)$ , then  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible in  $L^2(W)$  if and only if  $T_\phi$  is left invertible in  $H^2(W)$ .

**Definition.** For a  $t$  in  $I(\phi)$  and a  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} L(t, \phi) = \{ & \ell = u - \tilde{v} - \tilde{s} - c; \\ & |v| \leq \cos^{-1} r(t, \phi) \text{ a.e., } m\{v = \pi/2\} = 0. \\ & |u| \leq U(t, \phi, v) \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi), \text{ and } -\log(2 \cos v) \leq u \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi)^c. \\ & s \in A(t^2 - \phi), \text{ and } c \text{ is a real constant.} \}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $r(t, \phi)$  is bounded away from zero, then  $L(t, \phi)$  is a convex subset of BMO.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^\infty(m)$  such that  $m(E(\phi)) > 0$ . Suppose  $\varepsilon$  is a positive constant such that both  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  belong to  $J(\phi)$ . For a weight  $W$  such that  $\log W$  is integrable, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\varepsilon \|f\|_W \leq \|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \|f\|_W$ , for all  $f$  in  $A + \bar{A}_0$ .
- (ii)  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ ,  $\varepsilon \leq |\phi| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$  a.e.,  $m\{\phi = \varepsilon^2\} = m\{\phi = \varepsilon^{-2}\} = 0$  and there exists an  $\ell$  in  $L(\varepsilon, \phi) \cap L(\varepsilon^{-1}, \phi)$  such that

$$W = \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|\varepsilon^2 - 1|} \chi_{E(\phi)^c} + \frac{1}{|\phi - 1|} \chi_{E(\phi)} \right) \exp \ell \text{ a.e..}$$

If  $m(E(\phi)^c) > 0$  then  $\varepsilon \neq 1$ . If  $W$  satisfies one of these conditions, then  $W^{-1}$  is integrable.

**Proof.** By Cotlar-Sadosky's theorem [4], it follows from (i) that there exist two functions  $k, k'$  in  $H^1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varepsilon^2 - \phi)W - k|^2 &\leq (\varepsilon^2 - 1)(\varepsilon^2 - |\phi|^2)W^2 \text{ a.e.,} \\ |(\varepsilon^{-2} - \phi)W - k'|^2 &\leq (\varepsilon^{-2} - 1)(\varepsilon^{-2} - |\phi|^2)W^2 \text{ a.e..} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m(E(\phi)) > 0$ , it follows that  $k$  and  $k'$  are non-zero functions. Suppose  $m\{\phi = \varepsilon^2\} > 0$ , then  $m\{k = 0\} > 0$ . Since  $k$  is in  $H^1$ , we have  $k = 0$  a.e. (cf.[8], p.76). This contradiction implies  $m\{\phi = \varepsilon^2\} = 0$ . In the same way we have  $m\{\phi = \varepsilon^{-2}\} = 0$ . Then

$$(\varepsilon^{\pm 2} - 1)(\varepsilon^{\pm 2} - |\phi|^2) = \{1 - r(\varepsilon^{\pm 1}, \phi)^2\} |t^2 - \phi|^2 \text{ a.e..}$$

We use Lemma A to complete the proof.

**Remark 1.** For a function  $\phi$  such that  $|\phi| = 1$  a.e., we have  $r(\varepsilon, \phi) = r(\varepsilon^{-1}, \phi)$  a.e. and hence  $U(\varepsilon, \phi, v) = U(\varepsilon^{-1}, \phi, v)$  a.e.. In this case the condition (ii) in the above theorem becomes as follows.

(ii)' There exist three functions  $u, v, s$  and a constant  $c$  such that

$$W = \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|\varepsilon^2 - 1|} \chi_{E(\phi)^c} + \frac{1}{|\phi - 1|} \chi_{E(\phi)} \right) \exp(u - \bar{v} - \bar{s} - c) \text{ a.e.,}$$

where  $|v| \leq \cos^{-1} r(\varepsilon, \phi)$  a.e.,  $m\{|v| = \pi/2\} = 0$ ;

$|u| \leq U(\varepsilon, \phi, v)$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ , and

$-\log(2 \cos v) \leq u$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ ;  $s \in A(\varepsilon^2 - \phi) \cap A(\varepsilon^{-2} - \phi)$ .

It should be mentioned that if  $\phi = -1$  a.e., then the condition (ii)' becomes the Arocena, Cotlar and Sadosky's condition (cf.[1], [3] and [4]). In this case  $\phi P_+ + P_- = -P_+ + P_-$  is invertible if and only

if it is bounded. Then  $E(-1)=\mathbf{T}$ ,  $r(\varepsilon, -1) = r(\varepsilon^{-1}, -1) = 2\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon^2)$  a.e., and  $A(\varepsilon^2+1) \cap A(\varepsilon^{-2}+1)$  contains a function  $s=0$ .

**Corollary 1.** Suppose  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^\infty(m)$  such that  $|\phi-1|>0$  a.e. and  $J(\phi)$  contains a constant 1. For a weight  $W$  such that  $\log W$  is integrable, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is an isometry in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (ii)  $|\phi|=1$  a.e., and there exist an  $s$  in  $A(1-\phi)$  and a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$W = \frac{C}{|\phi-1|} \exp(-\bar{s}) \text{ a.e..}$$

If  $W$  satisfies one of these conditions, then  $W^{-1}$  is bounded.

**Proof.** It follows from (i) that

$$\|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W = \|f\|_W, \text{ for all } f \text{ in } A + \bar{A}_0.$$

This is the case  $\varepsilon=1$  in Theorem 1. Hence,  $|\phi|=1$  a.e. and there exists an  $\ell$  in  $L(1, \phi)$  such that  $W = |\phi-1|^{-1} \exp \ell$  a.e.. Since  $r(1, \phi)=1$  a.e., we have

$$L(1, \phi) = \{-\bar{s} - c; s \in A(1-\phi), \text{ and } c \text{ is a real constant}\}.$$

Since  $J(\phi)$  contains 1,  $|1-\phi| \exp(\bar{s})$  is bounded for some  $s$  in  $A(1-\phi)$  and hence  $W^{-1}$  is bounded. We use Theorem 1 to complete the proof.

**Definition.** For a function  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ , let  $L(\phi, +)$ ,  $L(\phi, -)$  and  $L(\phi)$  denote subsets of real measurable functions such that

$$L(\phi, \pm) = \bigcup_{t \in I(\phi, \pm)} L(t, \phi) \text{ and } L(\phi) = L(\phi, +) \cap L(\phi, -).$$

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^\infty(m)$  such that  $|\phi-1|>0$  a.e.. Suppose there exists a positive constant  $\delta$  such that  $(0, \delta] \cup [\delta^{-1}, \infty)$  is a subset of  $J(\phi)$ . For a weight  $W$  such that  $\log W$  is integrable, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded and left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (ii)  $\phi$  is bounded away from zero and there exists a function  $\ell$  in  $L(\phi)$  such that  $W = |\phi-1|^{-1} \exp \ell$  a.e..

If  $W$  satisfies one of these conditions, then  $W^{-1}$  is integrable.

**Proof.** We shall show that (i) implies (ii). By (i), there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon$  such that both  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  belong to  $J(\phi)$  and



$$\varepsilon \|f\|_W \leq \|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \|f\|_W, \text{ for all } f \text{ in } A + \bar{A}_0.$$

By Theorem 1, there exists an  $\ell$  in  $L(\varepsilon, \phi) \cap L(\varepsilon^{-1}, \phi)$  such that  $W = |\phi - 1|^{-1} \exp \ell$  a.e.. Since  $L(\varepsilon, \phi) \cap L(\varepsilon^{-1}, \phi)$  is a subset of  $L(\phi)$ , (ii) follows. The converse is also true. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose  $|\phi - 1| > 0$  a.e.. Let  $t$  and  $t'$  be positive constants satisfying  $t < t'$ . If  $J(\phi) = I(\phi)$ , then the following statements are true.*

- (1) If  $t$  and  $t'$  belong to  $I(\phi, +)$ , then  $L(t, \phi)$  is a subset of  $L(t', \phi)$  and  $r(t', \phi) \leq r(t, \phi)$  a.e..
- (2) If  $t$  and  $t'$  belong to  $I(\phi, -)$ , then  $L(t', \phi)$  is a subset of  $L(t, \phi)$  and  $r(t, \phi) \leq r(t', \phi)$  a.e..

**Proof.** Put  $r = r(t, \phi)$  and  $r' = r(t', \phi)$ , then

$$r'^2 - r^2 = \frac{(t'^2 - t^2)(|\phi|^2 - t'^2 t^2)}{|t'^2 - \phi|^2 |t^2 - \phi|^2} \text{ a.e..}$$

We shall prove (1). Since  $t$  and  $t'$  belong to  $I(\phi, +)$ , we have  $r' \leq r$  a.e.. Let  $\ell$  be in  $L(t, \phi)$  and put  $W = |\phi - 1|^{-1} \exp \ell$ , then it follows from Lemma A that there exists a  $k$  in  $H^1$  such that

$$|(t^2 - \phi)W - k|^2 \leq (t^2 - 1)(t^2 - |\phi|^2)W^2 \text{ a.e..}$$

By Cotlar-Sadosky's theorem [4],

$$\|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W \leq t \|f\|_W \leq t' \|f\|_W,$$

for all  $f$  in  $A + \bar{A}_0$ . By Cotlar-Sadosky's theorem, there exists a  $k'$  in  $H^1$  such that

$$|(t'^2 - \phi)W - k'|^2 \leq (t'^2 - 1)(t'^2 - |\phi|^2)W^2 \text{ a.e..}$$

By Lemma A, there exists an  $\ell'$  in  $L(t', \phi)$  such that  $W = |\phi - 1|^{-1} \exp \ell'$  a.e. and hence  $\ell = \ell'$  a.e.. Thus  $L(t, \phi)$  is a subset of  $L(t', \phi)$ . The proof of (2) is similar to one of (1). This completes the proof.

**Proposition 4.** *If  $J(\phi) = I(\phi)$  and  $r(t, \phi)$  is bounded away from zero for all  $t$  in  $I(\phi)$ , then  $L(\phi, +)$ ,  $L(\phi, -)$  and  $L(\phi)$  are convex subsets of BMO.*

**Proof.** Let  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$  be in  $L(\phi, +)$ . There exist  $t$  and  $t'$  in  $I(\phi, +)$  such that  $\ell$  is in  $L(t, \phi)$  and  $\ell'$  is in  $L(t', \phi)$ . Since  $r(t, \phi)$  is bounded away from zero, we have  $|\phi - 1| > 0$  a.e. and  $U(t, \phi, v)$  is in  $L^\infty(m)$ . Since  $|\phi - 1| > 0$  a.e. and  $J(\phi) = I(\phi)$ , it follows from Proposition 3 that the convex combination of  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$  belongs to either  $L(t, \phi)$  or  $L(t', \phi)$  which is a convex subset of  $L(\phi, +)$ . Hence  $L(\phi, +)$  is a convex subset of BMO. It follows in the similar way that  $L(\phi, -)$  is convex and

hence  $L(\phi)$  is also convex.

**Proposition 5.** (1) If  $\phi$  is an outer function in  $H^\infty$ , then  $J(\phi) \cup \{1\} = I(\phi)$ .

(2) If  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^\infty_R(m)$  such that  $(\text{ess inf } \phi, \text{ess sup } \phi)$  does not contain zero, then  $J(\phi) = I(\phi)$ .

**Proof.** We shall prove (1). Let  $t$  be any constant in  $I(\phi, +)$  not equal to one. Put  $\lambda = t^2 - \phi$ , then  $\lambda$  is an invertible function in  $H^\infty$  since  $|\lambda| \geq t^2 - \text{Re } \phi \geq t^2 - \max\{t, 1\} > 0$  a.e.. Hence there exist a function  $f$  and a constant  $c$  such that  $\lambda = \exp(f + i\tilde{f} + ic)$  a.e.. Put  $s = \tilde{f} + c$ , then  $s$  is in  $A(\lambda)$  since  $|\lambda| \exp(\tilde{s}) = c'$  for some constant  $c'$ . Thus  $I(\phi, +)$  is a subset of  $J(\phi) \cup \{1\}$ . Let  $t$  be any constant in  $I(\phi, -)$  not equal to one. We may assume that  $\phi$  is bounded away from zero. Put  $\lambda = t^2 - \phi$ , then  $\lambda$  is an invertible function in  $H^\infty$  since  $\text{Re}(t^{-1} - \phi^{-1}) \geq 0$  a.e. and  $|\lambda| \geq (1-t)(\text{ess inf } |\phi|) > 0$  a.e.. Thus  $I(\phi, -)$  is a subset of  $J(\phi) \cup \{1\}$ . Hence  $J(\phi) \cup \{1\} = I(\phi)$ . We shall prove (2). Let  $t$  be any constant in  $I(\phi, +)$ . Put  $\lambda = t^2 - \phi$ , then  $\lambda$  is in  $L^\infty_R(m)$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$  a.e. since  $|\phi| \leq t \leq t^2$  a.e.. Put  $s = \text{Arg } \lambda$ , then  $s = 0$  a.e. and hence  $|\lambda| \exp(\tilde{s})$  is bounded. Thus  $I(\phi, +)$  is a subset of  $J(\phi)$ . Let  $t$  be any constant in  $I(\phi, -)$ . Since  $(\text{ess inf } \phi, \text{ess sup } \phi)$  does not contain zero, we have  $\phi \geq 0$  a.e. or  $\phi \leq 0$  a.e.. If  $\phi \geq 0$  a.e., then  $\lambda \leq 0$  a.e. since  $\phi \geq t \geq t^2$  a.e.. Put  $s = \text{Arg } \lambda$ , then  $s = -\pi$  a.e. and hence  $|\lambda| \exp(\tilde{s})$  is bounded. Thus  $I(\phi, -)$  is a subset of  $J(\phi)$ . If  $\phi \leq 0$  a.e., then  $\lambda \geq 0$  a.e. and hence  $I(\phi, -)$  is a subset of  $J(\phi)$ . Hence  $J(\phi) = I(\phi)$ . This completes the proof.

For a weight  $W$ ,  $H^2(W)$  (resp.  $H_0^2(W)$ ) denotes the  $L^2(W)$ -norm closure of  $A$  (resp.  $A_0$ ). If  $W$  is in  $(A_2)$ , then  $T_\phi$  is bounded in  $H^2(W)$  and  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded in  $L^2(W)$ .

**Proposition 6.** Let  $\phi$  be a function in  $L^\infty(m)$ . For a  $W$  in  $(A_2)$ , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (ii)  $P_+ \phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (iii)  $T_\phi f$  is left invertible in  $H^2(W)$ .

**Proof.** Put

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= \inf\{\|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W; f \in A + \bar{A}_0, \|f\|_W = 1\}, \\ \varepsilon_2 &= \inf\{\|(P_+ \phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W; f \in A + \bar{A}_0, \|f\|_W = 1\}, \text{ and} \\ \varepsilon_3 &= \inf\{\|T_\phi f\|_W; f \in A, \|f\|_W = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and let  $f$  be any function in  $A + \bar{A}_0$  satisfying  $\|f\|_W = 1$ . Since  $P_+ \phi P_+ + P_- = (\phi P_+ + P_-)(I - P_- \phi P_+)$ ,

$$\|(P_+\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W \geq \varepsilon_1 \|(I - P_-\phi P_+)f\|_W \geq \varepsilon_1 \|I + P_-\phi P_+\|_W^{-1},$$

it follows that  $\varepsilon_2 \geq \varepsilon_1 \|I + P_-\phi P_+\|_W^{-1} > 0$ . Hence (i) implies (ii). Suppose  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  and let  $f$  be any function in  $A$  satisfying  $\|f\|_W = 1$ . Since  $\|T_\phi f\|_W \geq \|(P_+\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W \geq \varepsilon_2$ , we have  $\varepsilon_3 \geq \varepsilon_2 > 0$ . Hence (ii) implies (iii). Suppose  $\varepsilon_3 > 0$  and let  $f$  be any function in  $A + \bar{A}_0$  satisfying  $\|f\|_W = 1$ . Since  $\|P_+\|_W = \|P_-\|_W$  (cf.[14]),

$$\varepsilon_3 \leq \varepsilon_3 (\|P_+ f\|_W + \|P_- f\|_W) \leq \|T_\phi(P_+ f)\|_W + \varepsilon_3 \|P_- f\|_W \leq (1 + \varepsilon_3) \|P_+\|_W \|(P_+\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W.$$

We have  $\varepsilon_3 \leq \varepsilon_2 (1 + \varepsilon_3) \|P_+\|_W$  and hence  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ . Hence (iii) implies (ii). Suppose  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  and let  $f$  be any function in  $A + \bar{A}_0$  satisfying  $\|f\|_W = 1$ . Since  $\phi P_+ + P_- = (P_+\phi P_+ + P_-)(I + P_-\phi P_+)$ ,

$$\|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W \geq \varepsilon_2 \|(I + P_-\phi P_+)f\|_W \geq \varepsilon_2 \|I - P_-\phi P_+\|_W^{-1},$$

we have  $\varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2 \|I - P_-\phi P_+\|_W^{-1} > 0$ . Hence (ii) implies (i). This completes the proof.

**Proposition 7.** Suppose  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^\infty_R(m)$  such that  $\phi - 1$  is bounded away from zero, and  $[\text{ess inf } \phi, \text{ess sup } \phi]$  does not contain zero. If  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ , then  $W$  is in  $(A_2)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $[\text{ess inf } \phi, \text{ess sup } \phi]$  does not contain zero and  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible, it follows that there exists a constant  $\varepsilon$  in  $I(\phi)$  such that  $\varepsilon^2$  does not belong to  $[\text{ess inf } \phi, \text{ess sup } \phi]$  and

$$\varepsilon \|f\|_W \leq \|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W, \text{ for all } f \text{ in } A + \bar{A}_0.$$

By Cotlar-Sadosky's theorem, there exists a  $k$  in  $H^1$  such that

$$|(\phi - \varepsilon^2)W - k| \leq \{(|\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2)(1 - \varepsilon^2)\}^{1/2} W \leq \{1 - r(\varepsilon, \phi)^2\}^{1/2} |\phi - \varepsilon^2|W \text{ a.e.}$$

Since  $\phi - \varepsilon^2$  and  $\phi - 1$  are bounded away from zero, it follows that  $r(\varepsilon, \phi)$  is bounded away from zero. Then  $\phi - \varepsilon^2 > 0$  a.e. or  $\phi - \varepsilon^2 < 0$  a.e.. By Lemma A,  $|\phi - \varepsilon^2|W$  is in  $(A_2)$  and hence  $W$  is in  $(A_2)$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark 2.** Suppose  $E$  is a Borel subset of a unit circle. Suppose  $\ell$  is a function in  $L^1_R(m)$  such that  $\exp \ell$  is integrable, not in  $(A_2)$ ,  $-\log 2 \leq \ell$  a.e. on  $E^c$ , and  $|\ell| \leq \cosh^{-1}\{(1 + \varepsilon^2)/(2\varepsilon)\}$  a.e. on  $E$ . For a constant  $\varepsilon$  satisfying  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ , put

$$R(E, \varepsilon) = \{W ; \varepsilon \|f\|_W \leq \|(1 - 2\chi_E)P_+ + P_-\|_W f\|_W \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \|f\|_W, \text{ for all } f \text{ in } A + \bar{A}_0\}.$$

The following statements are then true.

- (a) If  $0 < m(E) < 1$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $W = \{(2\varepsilon)/(1 - \varepsilon^2)\chi_{E^c} + \chi_E\} \exp \ell$ , then  $W$  is in  $R(E, \varepsilon)$ , not in  $(A_2)$ .
- (b) If  $m(E) = 1$ , then  $(1 - 2\chi_E)P_+ + P_- = -P_+ + P_-$  and hence  $R(E, \varepsilon)$  is a subset of  $(A_2)$ .

In this section, we have assumed that  $\log W$  is integrable. Similar results hold on the assumption that  $W > 0$  a.e.. If  $m\{W = 0\} > 0$ , then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded and left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (ii)  $W = 0$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ , and  $W$  has no restriction on  $E(\phi)^c$ .

#### § 4. INVERTIBILITY.

We shall consider the invertibility of operators  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  and  $T_\phi$  in weighted spaces. If  $W$  is in  $(A_2)$ , then  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is invertible in  $L^2(W)$  if and only if  $T_\phi$  is invertible in  $H^2(W)$ . We shall use Rochberg theorem (cf.[16]) to prove Theorem 8.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\phi$  be a function in  $L^\infty(m)$ . For a  $W$  in  $(A_2)$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is invertible in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (ii)  $T_\phi$  is invertible in  $H^2(W)$ .
- (iii)  $\phi$  can be written as

$$\phi = \exp(U + ic - i\tilde{V}) \text{ a.e.}$$

with  $c$  a real constant;  $U$  a function in  $L^\infty_R(m)$ ;  $V$  a real measurable function such that  $We^V$  is in  $(A_2)$ .

If  $\phi$  and  $W$  satisfy one of these conditions, then

$$\|I + P_- \phi P_+\|_W^{-1} \|T_\phi^{-1}\|_W \leq \|(\phi P_+ + P_-)^{-1}\|_W \leq (1 + \|T_\phi^{-1}\|_W) \|P_+\|_W \|I - P_- \phi P_+\|_W.$$

**Proof.** Rochberg [16] proved (ii) is equivalent to (iii). We shall show that (i) implies (ii). By Proposition 6, (i) implies that  $T_\phi$  is left invertible in  $H^2(W)$ . Let  $g$  be any function in  $L^2(W)$ . Since  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  has a dense range in  $L^2(W)$ ,  $T_\phi P_+ = P_+(\phi P_+ + P_-)$  on  $A + \bar{A}_0$ , and  $P_+$  is bounded in  $L^2(W)$ , it follows that  $T_\phi$  has a dense range in  $H^2(W)$ . We shall show that (iii) implies (i) parallel to Rochberg [16]. Let  $\phi_1$  be a function such that

$$\phi_1 = \exp \frac{1}{2} \{(U + i\tilde{U}) - (V + i\tilde{V})\} \text{ a.e.}$$

and put  $\phi_2 = \phi/\phi_1$  then  $\phi_1$  and  $\bar{\phi}_2$  are invertible function in  $H^p$  for some  $p, p > 1$  such that  $|\phi_1|^2 = \exp(U - V)$  a.e. and  $|\phi_2|^2 = \exp(U + V)$  a.e.. Define the operator  $R$  by

$$Rf = (\phi_1^{-1}P_+ + \phi_2P_-)(\phi_2^{-1}f), \quad f \text{ is in } A + \bar{A}_0.$$

Since  $\phi_2^{-1}f$  is in  $L^2(We^V) \cap L^p(m)$  for some constant  $p, p > 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rf\|_W &\leq \|\phi_1^{-1}P_+(\phi_2^{-1}f)\|_W + \|\phi_2P_-(\phi_2^{-1}f)\|_W \\ &\leq (\exp \|U\|_\infty)^{1/2} (\|P_+(\phi_2^{-1}f)\|_{We^V} + \|P_-(\phi_2^{-1}f)\|_{We^V}) \\ &\leq 2(\exp \|U\|_\infty)^{1/2} \|P_+\|_{We^V} \|\phi_2^{-1}f\|_{We^V} \\ &\leq 2(\exp \|U\|_\infty) \|P_+\|_{We^V} \|f\|_W. \end{aligned}$$

The third inequality holds since  $We^V$  is in  $(A_2)$ . Thus  $R$  extends to a bounded map of  $L^2(W)$  to  $L^2(W)$ . We shall show that for a function  $f$  in  $A + \bar{A}_0$ ,  $R(\phi P_+ + P_-) = (\phi P_+ + P_-)R = f$ . Since  $P_+\phi_1P_+ = \phi_1P_+$ ,  $P_-\phi_2^{-1}P_- = \phi_2^{-1}P_-$  and  $P_-\phi_1P_+ = P_+\phi_2^{-1}P_- = 0$ , we have

$$R(\phi P_+ + P_-)f = (\phi_1^{-1}P_+ + \phi_2P_-)(\phi_2^{-1}(\phi P_+ + P_-)f) = (\phi_1^{-1}P_+ + \phi_2P_-)((\phi_1P_+ + \phi_2^{-1}P_-)f) = f.$$

Since  $P_+\phi_1^{-1}P_+ = \phi_1^{-1}P_+$ ,  $P_-\phi_2P_- = \phi_2P_-$ ,  $P_-\phi_1^{-1}P_+ = P_+\phi_2P_- = 0$ , we have

$$(\phi P_+ + P_-)Rf = (\phi P_+ + P_-)(\phi_1^{-1}P_+ + \phi_2P_-)(\phi_2^{-1}f) = f.$$

Hence  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  has a bounded inverse, namely  $R$ . Hence (i) follows. The operator norm inequality follows from the proof of Proposition 6. This completes the proof.

For a  $W$  in  $(A_p)$ , the necessary and sufficient conditions for  $T_\phi$  to be invertible in  $H^p(W)$  was given by Rochberg (cf.[16]). Theorem 8 is the case  $p=2$ . It is possible to modify this theorem for  $p, 1 < p < \infty$ .

**Proposition 9.** *For a weight  $W$  in  $(A_2)$ , either of the following two conditions imply that  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  has a dense range in  $L^2(W)$ .*

- (a)  $\phi$  is an outer function in  $H^\infty$ .
- (b)  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^\infty_R(m)$  such that  $(\text{ess inf } \phi, \text{ess sup } \phi)$  does not contain zero.

**Proof.** Since  $W$  is in  $(A_2)$ , there exists an invertible function  $h$  in  $H^2$  such that  $W = |h|^2$  a.e.. Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)_W$  denote the inner product in  $L^2(W)$ . Let  $g$  be a function in  $L^2(W)$  such that  $((\phi P_+ + P_-)f, g)_W = 0$ , for all  $f$  in  $L^2(W)$ . Since  $f_+/h$  is in  $H^2(W)$  and  $f_-/\bar{h}$  is in  $\bar{H}^2(W)$ , we have  $(\phi(f_+/h), g)_W = 0$  for all  $f_+$  in  $A$ , and  $((f_-/\bar{h}), g)_W = 0$  for all  $f_-$  in  $\bar{A}_0$ . Hence  $\phi\bar{h}g$  is in  $H^2_0$  and  $\bar{h}g$  is in  $H^2$ . Put  $F = Wg$  and  $G = \bar{\chi}\bar{g}\phi W$ , then  $F$  and  $G$  are functions in  $H^1$  and hence  $FG$  belongs to  $H^{1/2}$ .

Suppose (a) holds. Since  $(\chi FG)/\phi = W^2|g|^2 \geq 0$  a.e.,  $(\chi FG)/\phi$  is a function in  $H^{1/2}$  which is real and non-negative almost everywhere. Hence there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $(\chi FG)/\phi = C$  a.e. (cf. [6], p.95). Since  $\phi$  is an outer function,  $C=0$ . Since  $\phi$  and  $W$  are non-zero functions,  $g=0$  a.e.. Suppose (b) holds. Since  $\chi FG = \phi W^2|g|^2$  a.e. and  $(\text{ess inf } \phi, \text{ess sup } \phi)$  does not contain zero, we have  $\chi FG \geq 0$  a.e. or  $\chi FG \leq 0$  a.e.. Since  $\chi FG$  is in  $H^{1/2}$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $\chi FG = C$  a.e.. Hence  $g=0$  a.e.. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 10.** *Suppose  $\phi$  is an outer function in  $H^\infty$  not equal to one. Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive constant. For a weight  $W$  in  $(A_2)$ ,  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  has a dense range in  $L^2(W)$  and the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\varepsilon \|f\|_W \leq \|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W$ , for all  $f$  in  $A + \bar{A}_0$ .
- (ii)  $\varepsilon \leq \min\{1, |\phi|\}$  a.e. and there exist a positive constant  $C$  and two real functions  $u, v$  such that

$$W = \frac{C}{r(\varepsilon, \phi)} \exp(u - \bar{v}) \text{ a.e.},$$

$$|v| \leq \cos^{-1} r(\varepsilon, \phi) \text{ a.e. and } |u| \leq U(\varepsilon, \phi, v) \text{ a.e.}$$

**Proof.** By Cotlar-Sadosky's theorem, it follows from (i) that there exists a  $k$  in  $H^1$  such that

$$|(\phi - \varepsilon^2)W - k|^2 \leq W^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)(|\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2) \text{ a.e.}$$

Put  $g = \varepsilon^2 - \phi$ , then  $g$  is in  $H^\infty$ . Put  $k = -\varepsilon^{-2}\phi^{-1}$ , then  $k$  and  $k^{-1}$  belong to  $H^\infty$ , since  $\phi$  is an outer function and  $\varepsilon \leq |\phi|$  a.e.. Let  $s$  be any function in  $A(\varepsilon^2 - \phi)$ . Since  $\text{Re } kg \geq 0$  a.e. and

$$\frac{\exp(is - \bar{s})}{|\exp(is - \bar{s})|} = \frac{g}{|g|} \text{ a.e.},$$

there exists a positive constant  $\gamma$  such that  $\exp(is - \bar{s}) = \gamma g$  a.e. (cf.[11], Proposition 5). Hence  $\bar{s} = -\log|\varepsilon^2 - \phi| + c$  a.e. for some real constant  $c$ . We use Lemma A to complete the proof.

**Acknowledgements.** The author wishes to thank Prof. T. Nakazi for many helpful conversations. This research was partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research.

## REFERENCES

1. Arocena, R., A refinement of the Helson-Szegő theorem and the determination of the extremal measures, *Studia Math.* **71** (1981), 203-221.
2. Arocena, R. and Cotlar, M., A generalized Herglotz-Bochner theorem and  $L^2$ -weighted inequalities

- with finite measures, Conference on harmonic analysis in Honor of Antoni Zygmund (Chicago, Ill, 1981), *Wadsworth Math. Ser.*, pp.258-269, Wadsworth, Belmont, 1983.
3. Arocena, R., Cotlar, M. and Sadosky, C., Weighted inequalities in  $L^2$  and lifting properties, *Advances in Math.* Suppl. Studies 7A, 95-128, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
  4. Cotlar, M. and Sadosky, C., On the Helson-Szegő theorem and a related class of modified Toeplitz kernels, Harmonic analysis in Euclidean spaces (Williamstown, Mass., 1978), *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* Vol.35, pp.383-407, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1979.
  5. Cotlar, M. and Sadosky, C., Lifting properties, Nehari theorem, and Paley lacunary inequality, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **2** (1986), 55-72.
  6. Garnett, J. B., *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
  7. Helson, H. and Szegő, G., A problem in prediction theory, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **51** (1960), 107-138.
  8. Koosis, P., Introduction to  $H^p$  spaces, *London Math. Society Lecture Note Series* 40. Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1980.
  9. Koosis, P., Moyennes quadratiques pondérées de fonctions périodiques et de leurs conjuguées harmoniques, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **291** (1980), 255-257.
  10. Mikhlin, S. G. and Prössdorf, S., *Singular integral operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1986.
  11. Nakazi, T., Exposed points and extremal problems in  $H^1$ , *J. Funct. Anal.* **53** (1983), 224-230.
  12. Nakazi, T., Weighted norm inequalities and uniform algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1988), 507-512.
  13. Nakazi, T. and Yamamoto, T., A Lifting theorem and uniform algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **305** (1988), 79-94.
  14. Nakazi, T. and Yamamoto, T., Some singular integral operators and Helson-Szegő measures, *J. Funct. Anal.* **88** (1990), 366-384.
  15. Nikol'skii, N. K., *Treatise on the shift operator*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1986.
  16. Rochberg, R., Toeplitz operators on weighted  $H^p$  spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **26** (1977), 291-298.
  17. Yamamoto, T., On the generalization of the theorem of Helson and Szegő, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **14** (1985), 1-11.
  18. Yamamoto, T., On weight functions and norms of some singular integral operators, *Journal of Hokkai-Gakuen University*, **121** (2004), 1-8.